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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Ulcerative colitis is a chronic inflammatory disease of the colon for which current treatments are not universally effective. One additional treatment may be tofacitinib (CP-690,550), an oral inhibitor of Janus kinases 1, 2, and 3 with in vitro functional specificity for kinases 1 and 3 over kinase 2, which is expected to block signaling involving gamma chain–containing cytokines including interleukins 2, 4, 7, 9, 15, and 21. These cytokines are integral to lymphocyte activation, function, and proliferation.

METHODS
In a double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial, we evaluated the efficacy of tofacitinib in 194 adults with moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis. Patients were randomly assigned to receive tofacitinib at a dose of 0.5 mg, 3 mg, 10 mg, or 15 mg or placebo twice daily for 8 weeks. The primary outcome was a clinical response at 8 weeks, defined as an absolute decrease from baseline in the score on the Mayo scoring system for assessment of ulcerative colitis activity (possible score, 0 to 12, with higher scores indicating more severe disease) of 3 or more and a relative decrease from baseline of 30% or more with an accompanying decrease in the rectal bleeding subscore of 1 point or more or an absolute rectal bleeding subscore of 0 or 1.

RESULTS
The primary outcome, clinical response at 8 weeks, occurred in 32%, 48%, 61%, and 78% of patients receiving tofacitinib at a dose of 0.5 mg (P=0.39), 3 mg (P=0.55), 10 mg (P=0.10), and 15 mg (P<0.001), respectively, as compared with 42% of patients receiving placebo. Clinical remission (defined as a Mayo score ≤2, with no subscore >1) at 8 weeks occurred in 13%, 33%, 48%, and 41% of patients receiving tofacitinib at a dose of 0.5 mg (P=0.76), 3 mg (P=0.01), 10 mg (P<0.001), and 15 mg (P<0.001), respectively, as compared with 10% of patients receiving placebo. There was a dose-dependent increase in both low-density and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Three patients treated with tofacitinib had an absolute neutrophil count of less than 1500.

CONCLUSIONS
Patients with moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis treated with tofacitinib were more likely to have clinical response and remission than those receiving placebo. (Funded by Pfizer; ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00787202.)
UCERATIVE COLITIS IS A CHRONIC INFLAMMATORY DISEASE OF THE COLON.1,2 Patients have intermittent disease flares interspersed with periods of remission.3 Ulcerative colitis is treated with mesalamine, glucocorticoids, azathioprine, and anti–tumor necrosis factor (TNF) agents (infliximab and adalimumab).4–6 These agents are not universally effective, and some have been associated with serious toxic effects.7 Additional treatments are needed.

Tofacitinib (CP-690,550) is a selective oral inhibitor of the Janus kinase (JAK) family of kinases, including JAK1 and JAK3, a tyrosine kinase that mediates signal-transduction activity involving the common gamma chain of the surface receptors for multiple cytokines, including interleukins 2, 4, 7, 9, 15, and 21.8–10 These cytokines are integral to lymphocyte activation, function, and proliferation. In vitro, tofacitinib inhibits interleukin-2–dependent differentiation of type 2 and type 17 helper T cells as well as lipopolysaccharide-induced innate immune responses.11 In addition, the inhibition of JAK1 attenuates signaling by proinflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-6 and interferon-γ.11 At high exposure to tofacitinib in preclinical models, inhibition of erythropoietin signaling may occur by means of inhibition of JAK2 signaling.11

Blockade of a common signaling molecule used by six important cytokines should result in suppression of both T and B cells while maintaining regulatory T-cell function.8–10,12 Although the importance of the JAK family in the pathogenesis of ulcerative colitis is unclear, tofacitinib has shown efficacy for prevention of organ allograft rejection13,14 and in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis15–18 and psoriasis.19,20 We designed a 8-week, placebo-controlled, dose-finding trial of tofacitinib in patients with moderately or severely active ulcerative colitis.

METHODS

STUDY CONDUCT

The sponsor, Pfizer, helped to design the study and analyze the data. The principal academic investigator also designed the study and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Data were collected by a contract research organization (Kendle International). All authors vouch for the veracity and completeness of the data and data analyses and for the fidelity of this report to the protocol (available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org), approved the final version, and made the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. All authors have confidentiality agreements with Pfizer.

The study was approved by the institutional review board or independent ethics committee for each center. All patients provided written informed consent.

STUDY PATIENTS

Our 8-week multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial was conducted at 51 centers in 17 countries (Belgium, Brazil, Chile, Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Hungary, Israel, Italy, Mexico, the Netherlands, Poland, Slovakia, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom) from January 2009 through September 2010.

Two eligibility criteria were an age of at least 18 years and a confirmed diagnosis of ulcerative colitis for at least 3 months. The other two eligibility criteria relate to the Mayo scoring system for assessment of ulcerative colitis activity (in which scores range from 0 to 12 and higher scores indicate more severe disease),21 a scale composed of four subscores (for stool frequency, rectal bleeding, endoscopic findings, and Physician's Global Assessment; scores on each can range from 0 to 3). These criteria were a score of 6 to 12 on the Mayo scale and moderately or severely active disease on sigmoidoscopy (i.e., a Mayo endoscopic findings subscore of 2 or 3, respectively). Patients could receive oral mesalamine or oral prednisone at a stable dose of 30 mg or less per day. Exclusion criteria are given in the Supplementary Appendix (available at NEJM.org).

STUDY DESIGN

Patients were randomly assigned, in a 2:2:2:3:3 ratio, to receive oral tofacitinib (CP-690,550) (Pfizer) at a dose of 0.5 mg, 3 mg, 10 mg, or 15 mg or placebo, administered twice daily. Patients were treated for 8 weeks and followed for 4 weeks afterward (through 12 weeks). No data on clinical outcomes or adverse events were collected beyond 12 weeks. Randomization was performed centrally, according to a computer-generated randomization schedule, with the use of permuted blocks balanced within each randomization stratum (e.g., previous exposure to anti-TNF therapy: yes or no). Oral mesalamine, if in use, was permitted at stable
doses; doses of oral glucocorticoids could not be increased, but tapering was permitted.

**FOLLOW-UP, EFFICACY, AND SAFETY EVALUATIONS**

The Mayo score was determined at baseline and 8 weeks. A partial Mayo score (based on three of the four questions [lacking the endoscopic findings subscore], for a range of 0 to 9), was determined at 0, 2, 4, and 8 weeks. Colonoscopy or flexible sigmoidoscopy was performed at baseline and again at 8 weeks. Clinical response was defined as a decrease from baseline in the total Mayo score — defined as an absolute decrease by at least 3 points and a relative decrease by at least 30% — with an accompanying decrease in the rectal bleeding subscore of at least 1 point or an absolute rectal bleeding subscore of 0 or 1. Endoscopic remission was defined as a decrease from baseline in the total Mayo score — defined as an absolute decrease by at least 3 points and a relative decrease by at least 30% — with an accompanying decrease in the rectal bleeding subscore of at least 1 point or an absolute rectal bleeding subscore of 0 or 1. Endoscopic response was defined as a decrease from baseline in the endoscopy subscore by at least 1 point and an endoscopically inactive disease.

Clinical remission was defined as a total Mayo score of 0 to 2, with no individual subscore exceeding 1. Endoscopic response was defined as a decrease from baseline in the endoscopy subscore by at least 1, and endoscopic remission was defined as an endoscopy subscore of 0. The health-related quality of life was measured with the use of the Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire (with scores ranging from 0 to 224 points and scores of 170 points or more indicating clinically inactive disease).

Adverse events and use of medications concomitantly with tofacitinib or placebo were recorded through 12 weeks. Blood samples were collected at each visit for hematologic and chemical analyses; at 0, 4, and 8 weeks for measuring C-reactive protein (CRP) concentration; and at 0, 8, and 12 weeks for lipid profiling. Stool samples were collected at each visit to ascertain fecal calprotectin concentrations (at Quintiles Laboratories; lower limit of detection, 28 mg per kilogram of stool). Fecal calprotectin is a biomarker for neutrophil migration into the gastrointestinal tract and intestinal inflammation.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The primary efficacy end point was a clinical response at 8 weeks. Secondary efficacy end points included clinical remission at 8 weeks; endoscopic response at 8 weeks; endoscopic remission at 8 weeks; change from baseline in the partial Mayo score at 2, 4, and 8 weeks; change from baseline in the total Mayo score at 8 weeks; change from baseline in the CRP concentration at 4 and 8 weeks; and the change from baseline in the fecal calprotectin concentration at 2, 4, and 8 weeks. The changes from baseline in low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol concentrations and serum creatinine concentrations at 8 and 12 weeks were also determined.

**Prespecified Analyses**

The analysis of the primary end point of clinical response at 8 weeks and the secondary end points of clinical remission, endoscopic response, and endoscopic remission — all at 8 weeks — was performed by means of a maximum-effective-dose (E$_{max}$) model (or an alternative if appropriate) with treatment group as a factor and a term included for prior anti-TNF therapy. Patients with data missing for reasons other than insufficient response to therapy or an adverse event related to ulcerative colitis were excluded from the analysis.

The change from baseline in the partial Mayo score at weeks 2, 4, and 8 and in the total Mayo score at 8 weeks were analyzed by means of an analysis-of-covariance model with terms for study treatment, baseline Mayo scores, and prior anti-TNF treatment based on last-observation-carried-forward imputation. CRP concentrations and fecal calprotectin concentrations were natural log–transformed, and the change from baseline at 2 weeks (for fecal calprotectin only) and 4 and 8 weeks were analyzed with the use of an analysis-of-variance model with last-observation-carried-forward imputation. The changes from baseline in serum creatinine concentrations at 2, 4, 8, and 12 weeks and in LDL and HDL cholesterol concentrations at 8 and 12 weeks were plotted and summarized according to dose to visually assess dose-related changes.

**Post Hoc Analyses**

Demographic and baseline characteristics were compared among treatment groups with the use of the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables and analysis of variance with van der Waerden normal scores for continuous variables.

The prespecified analysis of the primary end point and related efficacy end points was the assessment of dose response with the use of an E$_{max}$ model. Here we report between-group comparisons; thus, we used the Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel chi-square test with stratification according to prior anti-TNF therapy. This analysis was based on data for all patients receiving at least one dose.
of study medication. Patients with missing data were considered to be nonresponders. No adjustments were made for multiple comparisons. A sensitivity analysis was conducted for clinical response, in which patients receiving placebo withdrawn for reasons not related to treatment were considered to be responders in a “worst-case-scenario” approach.

To compare the consistency of the effect of study treatment on clinical response with placebo and with tofacitinib at a dose of 0.5 mg, 3 mg, 10 mg, or 15 mg twice daily, we performed one prespecified subgroup analysis (according to prior use or nonuse of anti-TNF therapy) as well as multiple post hoc subgroup analyses (according to sex, age, weight, body-mass index, duration of ulcerative colitis, colonic area involved, baseline total Mayo score, CRP concentration at baseline, baseline fecal calprotectin concentration, and glucocorticoid and mesalamine use at baseline) were performed. The heterogeneity of treatment effect was assessed with the use of a Breslow–Day test. The incidence of adverse events was analyzed by means of Fisher’s exact test.

We calculated that a total of 180 patients would need to be enrolled and have data that could be evaluated in order for the study to have 80% power to detect a relative difference of 20% in the rate of the primary end point of clinical response at 8 weeks between the group receiving placebo — assuming a rate of 35% in this group — and the group receiving tofacitinib at a dose of 15 mg twice daily, at the one-sided 5% significance level. This calculation was based on a simulation study in which the simulated data were analyzed using a three-parameter $E_{\text{max}}$ model. On this basis, we aimed to enroll 45 patients in the placebo group and in the 15-mg twice-daily tofacitinib group and 30 patients in each of the other tofacitinib groups (receiving 0.5 mg, 3 mg, and 10 mg twice daily).

**RESULTS**

**PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS**
A total of 195 patients were randomly assigned to a study group, of whom 194 received a dose of the study drug: 48 patients in the placebo group and 31, 33, 33, and 49 in the tofacitinib groups receiving 0.5 mg, 3 mg, 10 mg, and 15 mg twice daily, respectively. One patient in the placebo group did not receive the study drug and was excluded from the analyses. The demographic and baseline disease characteristics were similar across the five groups (Table 1), with significant differences ($P<0.05$) only in glucocorticoid use at baseline. A total of 157 of the 194 patients (80.9%) completed the 8-week trial (Fig. 1 in the Supplementary Appendix). Concomitant drug therapy was used in 46, 31, 33, 32, and 47 patients in the placebo group and the 0.5-mg, 3-mg, 10-mg, and 15-mg tofacitinib groups, respectively. Across all treatment groups, 131 (67.5%) patients received concomitant aminosalicylates and 85 (43.8%) received concomitant glucocorticoids at some point during the study.

**EFFICACY**

**Primary End Point**
A clinical response at 8 weeks occurred in 20 of 48 patients (42%) receiving placebo (95% confidence interval [CI], 28 to 56), as compared with 10 of 31 (32%) receiving 0.5 mg of tofacitinib (95% CI, 16 to 49; $P=0.39$), 16 of 33 (48%) receiving 3 mg of tofacitinib (95% CI, 31 to 66; $P=0.55$), 20 of 33 (61%) receiving 10 mg of tofacitinib (95% CI, 44 to 77; $P=0.10$), and 38 of 49 (78%) receiving 15 mg of tofacitinib (95% CI, 66 to 89; $P<0.001$) (Fig. 1A). The results of the prespecified statistical analysis for the clinical response (Table 2 in the Supplementary Appendix) and the sensitivity analysis for clinical response (Fig. 2 in the Supplementary Appendix) are consistent with these findings. The efficacy of tofacitinib at doses of 10 mg and 15 mg twice daily was generally consistent among demographic subgroups and subgroups according to baseline disease characteristics; however, caution should be used in the interpretation of subgroup analyses owing to the limitations of multiple comparisons and small sample sizes (Fig. 4A and 4B in the Supplementary Appendix).

**Secondary End Points**
Clinical remission at 8 weeks occurred in 5 of 48 patients (10%) receiving placebo (95% CI, 2 to 19), as compared with 4 of 31 (13%) receiving 0.5 mg of tofacitinib (95% CI, 1 to 25; $P=0.76$), 11 of 33 (33%) receiving 3 mg of tofacitinib (95% CI, 17 to 49; $P=0.01$), 16 of 33 (48%) receiving 10 mg of tofacitinib (95% CI, 31 to 66; $P<0.001$), and 20 of 49 (41%) receiving 15 mg of tofacitinib (95% CI, 27 to 55; $P<0.001$) (Fig. 1B).

An endoscopic response at 8 weeks occurred
in 22 of 48 patients (46%) receiving placebo, as
compared with 16 of 31 (52%) receiving 0.5 mg of
tofacitinib (P = 0.30), 22 of 33 (67%) receiving 3 mg of
tofacitinib (P = 0.07), and 38 of 49 (78%) receiving
15 mg of tofacitinib (P = 0.001)
Endoscopic remission at 8 weeks occurred in 1 of 48 patients (2%) receiving placebo, as compared with 3 of 31 (10%) receiving 0.5 mg of tofacitinib (P = 0.14), 6 of 33 (18%) receiving 0.5 mg of tofacitinib (P = 0.01), 10 of 33 (30%) receiving 10 mg of tofacitinib (P < 0.001), and 13 of 49 (27%) receiving 15 mg of tofacitinib (P < 0.001) (Fig. 1D).

The mean total Mayo scores, mean partial Mayo scores, mean Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire scores, mean CRP concentrations, and mean fecal calprotectin concentrations during the study period are shown in Figure 2 (and in Fig. 3 in the Supplementary Appendix).

SAFETY

The most commonly reported adverse events related to infection were influenza and nasopharyngitis (in six patients each) (Table 2). Two patients receiving 10 mg of tofacitinib twice daily had serious adverse events from infection (postoperative abscess in one and anal abscess in the other). There was a dose-dependent increase in both LDL and HDL cholesterol concentrations at 8 weeks with tofacitinib, which reversed after discontinuation of the study drug (Tables 1 and 3 in the Supplementary Appendix). During the study period, the absolute neutrophil count was less than 1500 cells per cubic millimeter in three patients.
receiving tofacitinib (one at a dose of 10 mg twice daily and two at a dose of 15 mg twice daily); it was less than 1000 cells per cubic millimeter in none of the patients.

**DISCUSSION**

In this 8-week phase 2 trial of patients with moderately or severely active ulcerative colitis, in most of whom conventional therapy (mesalamine, glucocorticoids, immunosuppressants, or anti-TNF agents or a combination thereof) had failed, the highest dose of tofacitinib (15 mg twice daily) achieved significant improvements in the primary outcome of induction of a clinical response and in the secondary outcomes of clinical remission and endoscopic response and remission. The 10-mg twice-daily dose achieved significant improvements in most secondary outcomes. In addition, tofacitinib administration resulted in a reduction of CRP and fecal calprotectin concentrations and improvements in the Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire score. The efficacy of tofacitinib as maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis is unknown.

We required patients receiving azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, and methotrexate to discontinue them immediately before initiating therapy.

---

**Figure 2. Mean Scores on Clinical Scales and Mean Clinical Concentrations during the 8-Week Study Period, According to Study Group.**

The mean total Mayo scores (Panel A), mean Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire (IBDQ) scores (Panel B), natural log–transformed mean C-reactive protein (CRP) concentrations (Panel C), and natural log–transformed mean fecal calprotectin concentrations (Panel D) are shown. P values reflect the difference between each active treatment and placebo in the change from baseline to week 8. Mayo scores can range from 0 to 12 (with higher scores indicating more severe disease), and scores on the IBDQ can range from 0 to 224 (with scores ≥170 indicating clinically inactive disease). The normal range of CRP concentrations is 0 to 3 mg per liter. Last-observation-carried-forward imputation was used. I bars indicate standard errors.
with tofacitinib, and patients who had previously received anti-TNF therapy were required to discontinue it for at least 8 weeks before study entry. Thus, the results cannot be extrapolated to patients who do not discontinue those agents before treatment with tofacitinib.

In our previous small, 4-week phase 2a trial of tofacitinib at doses of 1 mg, 5 mg, and 15 mg twice daily in patients with moderate or severe Crohn’s disease, there were high rates of response and remission and no evidence of significant clinical efficacy with tofacitinib.\(^{25}\) Whether the failure of tofacitinib for the clinical efficacy end points in Crohn’s disease represents a real difference from ulcerative colitis in the effect of tofacitinib is unclear.

### Table 2. Summary of Safety Findings through 12 Weeks, According to Study Group.\(^*\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Placebo (N=48)</th>
<th>Tofacitinib 0.5 mg (N=31)</th>
<th>Tofacitinib 3 mg (N=33)</th>
<th>Tofacitinib 10 mg (N=33)</th>
<th>Tofacitinib 15 mg (N=49)</th>
<th>P Value †</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of adverse events</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious adverse events — no. of patients (%)‡</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious adverse events from infection — no. of patients (%)‡</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse events — no. of patients (%)</td>
<td>23 (48)</td>
<td>19 (61)</td>
<td>11 (33)</td>
<td>14 (42)</td>
<td>20 (41)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse events occurring in ≥5% of patients in any tofacitinib group — no. (%)§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative colitis</td>
<td>9 (19)</td>
<td>5 (16)</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspepsia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasopharyngitis</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusitis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthralgia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain in extremity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rash</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse events leading to study-drug discontinuation — no. of patients (%)</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse events from infection — no. of patients (%)</td>
<td>7 (15)</td>
<td>8 (26)</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
<td>9 (27)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in LDL cholesterol from baseline — mg/dl¶</td>
<td>-3.5±21.3</td>
<td>10.7±37.8</td>
<td>-0.7±15.6</td>
<td>-5.8±21.8</td>
<td>1.5±19.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in HDL cholesterol from baseline — mg/dl‖</td>
<td>1.9±10.1</td>
<td>4.5±12.7</td>
<td>3.0±9.9</td>
<td>0.9±15.0</td>
<td>0.9±14.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Plus–minus values are means ±SD. Twenty of 31 patients (65%), 26 of 33 (79%), 31 of 33 (94%), and 45 of 49 (92%) in the 0.5-mg, 3-mg, 10-mg, and 15-mg tofacitinib groups, respectively, and 35 of 48 patients (73%) in the placebo group completed the study and had safety data after 8 weeks, unless otherwise indicated.

† P values are based on Fisher’s exact test for the comparison of the combined tofacitinib groups with placebo group.

‡ The protocol definition of a serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence at any dose that results in death, is life-threatening (has an immediate risk of death), requires admission to a hospital or prolongation of existing hospitalization, results in persistent or significant disability or incapacity, or results in a congenital anomaly or birth defect.

§ See the Supplementary Appendix for an expanded version of this table that includes adverse events occurring in more than 1% of patients in any tofacitinib group and clinical laboratory test data.

¶ For low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, data at 12 weeks were available for 28 of 31 patients (90%), 28 of 33 (85%), 30 of 33 (91%), and 47 of 49 (96%) in the 0.5-mg, 3-mg, 10-mg, and 15-mg tofacitinib groups, respectively, and for 43 of 48 patients (90%) in the placebo group.

‖ For high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, data at 12 weeks were available for 28 of 31 patients (90%), 28 of 33 (85%), 31 of 33 (94%), and 47 of 49 (96%) in the 0.5-mg, 3-mg, 10-mg, and 15-mg tofacitinib groups, respectively, and for 43 of 48 patients (90%) in the placebo group.
Tofacitinib treatment resulted in an increase in LDL and HDL cholesterol levels; however, the small size and short duration of the trial did not allow for a comprehensive assessment of the safety and tolerability of the drug in patients with ulcerative colitis. In patients with rheumatoid arthritis, tofacitinib has been associated with increases in LDL cholesterol and serum creatinine concentrations and decreases in the absolute neutrophil count; and the 15-mg twice-daily dose has been associated with an increased risk of infection.

In the current study, we observed three cases in which the absolute neutrophil count decreased to less than 1500 per cubic millimeter and a dose-dependent increase in both LDL and HDL cholesterol concentrations but not an increase in aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, or serum creatinine concentrations or a decrease in serum hemoglobin concentration. The mechanism for the alterations in cholesterol profile is unknown. The clinical consequences of these laboratory abnormalities require further study.

In conclusion, patients with moderately or severely active ulcerative colitis treated with tofacitinib had a clinical response and clinical remission more frequently than those receiving placebo.
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