EDITORIAL



Shortening Treatment for Tuberculosis — Back to Basics

Digby F. Warner, Ph.D., and Valerie Mizrahi, Ph.D.

With approximately 8 million incident cases and 1.3 million deaths each year, increasing drug resistance, and exacerbating coexisting conditions such as the human immunodeficiency virusacquired immunodeficiency syndrome and diabetes, tuberculosis continues to pose a massive threat to global health. In the absence of a vaccine to provide long-term protection, control of drug-susceptible tuberculosis is largely dependent on a standard 6-month chemotherapy regimen that has been in use for more than three decades. Any experimental therapy designed to modify this short-course regimen - which comprises a 2-month intensive phase with four drugs (rifampin, isoniazid, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol) followed by a 4-month continuation phase with two drugs (isoniazid and rifampin) must not increase the rate of recurrence due to relapse. Where the aim is to reduce the duration of treatment — a critical transformation to improve patient adherence and reduce costs the challenge is significant: demonstration of noninferiority with fewer months of therapy. Three trials reported in this issue of the Journal¹⁻³ offer a sobering reminder of the enormity of this task, while providing some insight into the factors that are likely to determine the success of new, treatment-shortening regimens.

Each trial was designed to test whether the inclusion of a fluoroquinolone in a modified regimen could shorten the duration of treatment for drug-susceptible tuberculosis. The Rapid Evaluation of Moxifloxacin in Tuberculosis (REMoxTB) Consortium¹ investigated two regimens in which either ethambutol or isoniazid was replaced by moxifloxacin in a single 4-month combination therapy. In the trial conducted by the OFLOTUB/ Gatifloxacin for Tuberculosis Project,² gatifloxacin was substituted for ethambutol in the intensive phase and was maintained in a novel threedrug combination with rifampin and isoniazid in a 2-month continuation phase. The RIFAQUIN Trial Team³ tested two regimens in which moxifloxacin replaced isoniazid in an intensive phase that was followed by either 2 months of standard-dose rifapentine and moxifloxacin twice weekly or 4 months of high-dose rifapentine and moxifloxacin once weekly. In all three studies, observed culture conversion rates at 2 months were consistent with phase 2 data indicating that fluoroquinolone-containing regimens were likely to be superior. However, this property did not reliably predict sterilizing activity and risk of relapse: the shortened regimens were not noninferior.

Phase 3 trials require enormous financial investment. Although the studies described here have established the capacity for large, multicenter trials across disease-endemic countries, the design and selection of future experimental regimens will need to incorporate a triage process that can mitigate risks while enabling the accelerated development of much-needed treatment-shortening therapies. The disconnect between the phase 2 data that motivated these trials⁴ and the phase 3 results reinforces the idea that small sample sizes limit the utility of short trials in predicting the success of treatmentshortening regimens.⁵ In the meantime, innovative approaches, such as a "multi-armed, multistage" trial design⁵ and a meta-regression model that uses sputum-culture status at month 2 and treatment duration to predict relapse rates,⁶ appear promising.

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org by NICOLETTA TORTOLONE on October 22, 2014. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

Copyright © 2014 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.

What about advances in basic tuberculosis biology that could be used to inform the process? All three trials were grounded in evidence obtained from mouse models of tuberculosis that suggested a treatment-shortening role for fluoroquinolones. Although the trial results appear to reaffirm concerns about the role of standard mouse models in regimen design, alternatives such as the "Kramnik" mouse,7 rabbits, and nonhuman primates, all of which better recapitulate key pathologic features of tuberculosis in humans, hold considerable promise: for example, the results of a recent phase 2a study on clofazimine-containing regimens are corroborated by observations in the Kramnik mouse model.7 Moreover, nonhuman primates are amenable to advanced imaging and analytic techniques that enable the real-time monitoring of disease progression,8 and distributions of antituberculosis drugs have been quantified in lung tissue and lesions from rabbits.9 Notably, the concentrations of moxifloxacin are markedly lower in the caseum of caseating granulomas - where persisting bacilli are found to lurk¹⁰ — than in the cellular regions of granulomatous lesions. Whereas current drugs were introduced into clinical use without consideration of the pharmacokineticpharmacodynamic properties that might influence drug efficacy, the incorporation of information about lesion penetration and distribution will be critical in future regimen design.9

In summary, although the urgency of the medical need may justify additional clinical trials of experimental tuberculosis drugs and drug regimens, there must be a considerable increase in investment in fundamental research if we are to develop and validate correlates of durable cure. As these three trials have confirmed, our understanding of the science underlying positive clinical outcomes remains rudimentary. It's time to go back to basics.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

From the Molecular Mycobacteriology Research Unit, Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine, and Department of Clinical Laboratory Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa.

1. Gillespie SH, Crook AM, McHugh TD, et al. Four-month moxifloxacin-based regimens for drug-sensitive tuberculosis. N Engl J Med 2014;371:1577-87.

2. Merle CS, Fielding K, Sow OB, et al. A four-month gatifloxacin-containing regimen for treating tuberculosis. N Engl J Med 2014;371:1588-98.

3. Jindani A, Harrison TS, Nunn AJ, et al. High-dose rifapentine with moxifloxacin for pulmonary tuberculosis. N Engl J Med 2014;371:1599-608.

4. Rustomjee R, Lienhardt C, Kanyok T, et al. A phase II study of the sterilising activities of ofloxacin, gatifloxacin and moxifloxacin in pulmonary tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2008; 12:128-38.

5. Phillips PP, Gillespie SH, Boeree M, et al. Innovative trial designs are practical solutions for improving the treatment of tuberculosis. J Infect Dis 2012;205:Suppl 2:S250-S257.

6. Wallis RS, Wang C, Meyer D, Thomas N. Month 2 culture status and treatment duration as predictors of tuberculosis relapse risk in a meta-regression model. PLoS One 2013;8(8):e71116.

7. Irwin SM, Gruppo V, Brooks E, et al. Limited activity of clofazimine as a single drug in a mouse model of tuberculosis exhibiting caseous necrotic granulomas. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2014;58:4026-34.

8. Lin PL, Coleman T, Carney JP, et al. Radiologic responses in cynomolgous macaques for assessing tuberculosis chemotherapy regimens. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013;57:4237.

9. Dartois V. The path of anti-tuberculosis drugs: from blood to lesions to mycobacterial cells. Nat Rev Microbiol 2014;12:159-67.

10. Lenaerts AJ, Hoff D, Aly S, et al. Location of persisting mycobacteria in a Guinea pig model of tuberculosis revealed by r207910. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007;51:3338-45.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMe1410977

Copyright © 2013 Massachusetts Medical Society.

EARLY JOB ALERT SERVICE AVAILABLE AT THE NEJM CAREERCENTER

Register to receive weekly e-mail messages with the latest job openings that match your specialty, as well as preferred geographic region, practice setting, call schedule, and more. Visit the NEJM CareerCenter at NEJMjobs.org for more information.

N ENGL J MED 371;17 NEJM.ORG OCTOBER 23, 2014

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org by NICOLETTA TORTOLONE on October 22, 2014. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

Copyright © 2014 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.